

respond to. Senator Bohlke, your statement is you want to put in three, if the money not there, you'll take it out next year. Is that your point of view?

SENATOR BOHLKE: That's true, the one-year commitment.

SENATOR MOORE: Then would you agree, would you put intent language in that all ten should come out next year?

SENATOR BOHLKE: No.

SENATOR MOORE: The money is not going to be there for that either. I mean, right?

SENATOR BOHLKE: That could be a possibility, but you know, I'm not going to put that in the intent.

SENATOR MOORE: I mean, there's...why three? I mean, the fact is you're going to have to cut something next year, possibly. And all I'm saying is, in 1991, we made a good faith effort with the teachers to cut the...and working with them to cut back considerably the amount of money going to the HELP program. After that point in time, the Governor, in 1991, vetoed that number down. That number has been in the base for the last four years and it's, yes, and I guess I, Senator Withem says, you know, it doesn't mean anything; it has for the last four years, it has for the last six years. Next year everything, everything in the \$1.7 billion is on the table. All I'm saying is that, I mean, how...why do you put \$3 million in once? It just doesn't make sense, it doesn't make sense. And all I'm doing is trying to...and the only reason you do it, Senator Bohlke, the only reason you do it is to try and get them to put 10 million in next year, because you don't want to put it in one year. The only reason you're putting in three million is to keep it in higher so you can keep it higher. That is the only reason you do it, the only reason. And ask anybody in the lobby or upstairs, the only reason you want it higher is to try and put more pressure on the body to keep it higher and that's laudable, that's laudable, but I just think people should be up front when they say that. The reason my amendment goes to one is it...I don't think you ought to do that. Let's put it where you can afford it for the time being. Hopefully, next year the body will even lower it to eight. The way it is right now, you're guaranteed to revisit it. With my amendment, you're not guaranteed to revisit it even though it's certainly on the